

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 FREDRICK CONNORS,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 NEVADA ENERGY,

10 Defendant.  
11

Case No. 2:12-cv-01478-GMN-CWH

**ORDER**

12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#8), filed  
13 September 19, 2012 and Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#9), filed September 24, 2012.

14 Both of Plaintiff's motions consist of a single sentence: "I am filing for [i]njunctive  
15 [r]elief." While courts construe pro se pleadings liberally, the Ninth Circuit has held that "[p]ro  
16 se must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants." *E.g., King v. Atiyeh*, 814  
17 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987). Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, "[t]he failure of a moving  
18 party to file points and authorities in support of the motion shall constitute a consent to the denial  
19 of the motion." *See* LR 7-2(d). Plaintiff has not provided any support or context for the motions  
20 currently under consideration, and the Court cannot, without more, adequately address the  
21 motions. Accordingly,

22 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#8) and  
23 Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#9) are **denied**.

24 DATED this 26th day of September, 2012.

25  
26   
27 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.  
28 United States Magistrate Judge